Mr. SPEAKER.-- Yes, we will see.

*Motion moved*:

"That further sums not exceeding the sums specified in the motions for Additional and Supplementary Demands for grants for the year 1954-55 and included in the list distributed to Members be granted to Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st March 1955 in respect of Demands 2, 11, 14, 17, 23, 26, 27, 40, 42 and 45."

---

## Statement by the Minister for Law and Education on Supplementary Estimates.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, in order to help discussion and also to throw some light on the estimate that has been placed before the House, I wish to make a few remarks.

At the last Budget Session there was a criticism that Supplementary Estimates were being placed in almost every session of the Legislature. While the principle that Supplementary Estimates may be placed at one of the sessions, preferably the last one before the Budget Session, is acceptable, in practice it is found difficult to adhere to it. Fresh commitments or occasion for incurring urgent expenditure on schemes and projects for which provisions could not have been made or foreseen in the Budget, arise frequently between sessions and it is required by the Constitution that such expenditure be covered by Supplementary Grants before the expenditure is incurred. It is also necessary to recoup the amounts advanced from Contingency Fund from time to time. Hence the need for placing the Supplementary Demands during this session.

Members will kindly see from the Statement of Supplementary Estimates placed on the table of the House and the explanation furnished against each item that it was not possible for the Government to have foreseen this additional expenditure at the time of framing the Budget Estimates. It will also be noted that additional source in respect of the demands became available only after the Budget Estimates of 1954-55 were passed by the Legislature.

Coming to the Estimates themselves the demands may be classified under the following three categories:

| | | *Rs. in Lakhs* |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Revenue Expenditure ... | 30·90 |
| 2. | Capital Expenditure ... | 75·00 |
| 3. | Loans and Advances ... | 7·38 |
| | Total .. | 113·28 |

Out of the demand of Rs. 30·90 lakhs on item 1 above, a sum of Rs. 27 lakhs is on works of public utility as detailed below and the entire expenditure except for Rs. 3·90 lakhs is covered by Central assistance.

| | *Rs. in Lakhs* |
|---|---|
| National Extension Service (For Housing Accommodation). | 2·00(*a*) |
| Local Development Works... | 13·15 |
| Upliftment of Scheduled Castes and Tribes. | 0·69(*a*) |
| Schemes for Development of Handloom Industry. | 10·36(*a*) |
| Schemes financed by the Central Silk Board. | 0·80 |
| Total... | 27·00 |

(*a*) Covered by Central assistance.

The balance of Rs. 3·90 lakhs is on account of changes in accounting procedure or adjustment of old items and other small demands which do not call for remarks.

Out of the demand of Rs. 75 lakhs under item 2 above, Rs. 50 lakhs relate to Bhadra and Nugu Projects for which additional assistance has been secured from the Government of India. I may state that including the additional assistance for these projects the total grants in respect of them for the current year will amount to Rs. 245 lakhs. The balance of Rs. 25 lakhs is on account of power supply to irrigation pumps and this is fully covered by Central assistance.

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

A sum of Rs. 7·38 lakhs under item 3 above relates entirely to loans for Handloom Industry and this is covered by Central assistance.

It will thus be seen that all but a small portion of the total demand of Rs. 113·28 lakhs is covered by fresh resources and additional assistance which was secured only subsequent to voting on the main demands of the Budget.

I now leave the Supplementary Estimates for the consideration of the House.

MR. SPEAKER.—There is no necessity to move the cut motions. On the cut motions that have been allowed, members can speak.

---

## DEMAND NO. 2-STATE EXCISE

### 8. State Excise Duties.

*(Recovery of Arrears from defaulting Ganja Contractors.*

SRI R. MUNISWAMIAH (Bangalore North—Scheduled Castes).—I have brought this cut motion with the purpose to bring to the notice of this House that some of the present contractors who have been issued licences to grow ganja in their fields are defaulters. The Deputy Commissioner of Kolar District and the Excise Commissioner also have reported that they are defaulters and have recommended some other names of persons who are not defaulters for granting permission. But, I learn that out of six members who have been issued licenses to grow ganja in 30 acres in Goribidanur, five are defaulters. I do not know why Government have taken this attitude to allow more loss in course of time. Sir, I further learn that Government has not taken any serious action or necessary action to recover all the amount in a lumpsum or in instalments.

SRI T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—Sir, on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District and the Excise Commissioner to give 30 acres to grow ganja in Goribidanur in two places, Government have considered all aspects of the question in detail and in consultation of the Deputy Commissioner and the Excise Commissioner, granted permission to six persons to cultivate ganja. It is true that a few defaulters have been granted licence. I do not want to say more about this, because the matter is before the High Court. Considering all aspects, the suitability of the lands and the reliability of applicants licenses have been granted. We have not shown any favouritism to anybody.

---

## DEMAND NO. 11-GENERAL ADMINISTRATION.

### 25. General Administration (except the Sub-Major heads B. C. & F.)

*Reduction of Gazetted posts in the State.*

MR. SPEAKER.—Next demand, Demand No. 11. I want to know whether this cut motion relates to the officers working in the National Extension Service or it relates to a general question.

SRI R. MUNISWAMAIAH.—It is a general question.

MR. SPEAKER.—It is not allowed then.

*Scheme to provide quarters to Administrative Staff of N.E.S. Blocks.*

SRI S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).—Mr. Speaker, my object in sending the cut motion is to know the nature of the scheme that the Government have sanctioned for providing quarters to the Administrative staff working in the National Extension Service Blocks, throughout the State. I want to know the total number of quarters that Government propose to construct, the cost and the extent to which Government of India would give assistance. I also want to know whether after the expiry of the term of the National Extension Service, the development work continues in those Blocks. Suppose, these schemes are not continued; how do Government